Cryo-EM structure of eastern equine encephalitis virus in complex with heparan sulfate analogues
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Eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV), a mosquito-borne icosahedral alphavirus found mainly in North America, causes human and equine neurotropic infections. EEEV neurovirulence is influenced by the interaction of the viral envelope protein E2 with heparan sulfate (HS) proteoglycans from the host’s plasma membrane during virus entry. Here, we present a 5.8-Å cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure of EEEV complexed with the HS analog heparin. "Peripheral" HS binding sites were found to be associated with the base of each of the E2 glycoproteins that form the 60 quasi-threefold spikes (q3) and the 20 sites associated with the icosahedral threefold axes (I3). In addition, there is one HS site at the vertex of each q3 and I3 spike (the “axial” sites). Both the axial and peripheral sites are surrounded by basic residues, suggesting an electrostatic mechanism for HS binding. These residues are highly conserved among EEEV strains, and therefore a change in these residues might be linked to EEEV neurovirulence.
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Eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV) is a mosquito-borne virus prevalent in North America that can cause severe encephalitis. A total of 121 human EEEV infections were reported in the United States between 2003 and 2016. Of these, 119 cases were classified as neuroinvasive and 110 were diagnosed as encephalitis or meningoencephalitis, resulting in 50 deaths (1). Although EEEV vaccination is available for horses, human vaccines against EEEV are not yet available. As symptomatic infections of EEEV in humans have been associated with high mortality rates (2, 3), vaccines or antiviral agents are urgently needed to prevent and cure EEEV infections.

EEEV is a member of the genus Alphavirus, belonging to the Togaviridae family. Pathogenic alphaviruses are transmitted by mosquitoes or other arthropods. Alphaviruses infect vertebrates including humans and have been broadly classified as Old World or New World viruses (4). In general, Old World alphaviruses such as Chikungunya (CHIKV), Ross River (RRV), Sindbis (SINV), Semliki Forest, and Mayaro viruses can cause fevers, rashes, and arthritis. In contrast, New World alphaviruses such as Venezuelan (VEEV), Eastern (EEEV), and Western (WEEV) alphaviruses cause severe encephalitis in humans or domestic animals (4).

Alphaviruses are single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses that contain a host-derived internal lipid bilayer membrane surrounding the RNA genome, with a diameter of about 650 Å (5–13). The RNA genome encodes four nonstructural proteins (nsP1, nsP2, nsP3, and nsP4) and five structural proteins (E1, E2, E3, 6k, and capsid) (4, 14). Heterodimers of the transmembrane envelope proteins pE2 and E1 form trimeric spikes in the endoplasmic reticulum membrane of the host. The pE2 polypeptide is cleaved into E2 and E3 by a furin-like enzyme during maturation in the Golgi network (15). The assembled virions contain 240 copies of the two transmembrane envelope glycoproteins, E1 and E2, arranged into a T = 4 icosahedral lattice (16) (Fig. L4). The virus structure consists of 60 quasi-threefold spikes (“q3” spikes) in general positions and 20 spikes located on the icosahedral threefold axes (“I3” spikes). Each spike contains three copies of the E1–E2 heterodimer (17, 18).

The E1 ectodomain is divided into three domains: DI, DII, and DIII (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) (19). The “fusion loop” on DII can form a tight trimer at the tip of each spike that can insert itself into the endosomal membrane of the host cell endosome under acidic conditions to trigger fusion of the viral and host membranes. The E2 ectodomain consists of domain A (implicated in receptor binding), domain B (implicated in protecting the E1 fusion loop from premature exposure), domain C (an Ig fold probably involved in host recognition), and a β-ribbon connector (stabilizing domain B at neutral pH) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1) (19). The C-terminal portions of the E2 proteins penetrate the viral membrane and interact with the internal capsid proteins (20). The latter form a shell around the ~11-kb viral RNA genome. Previous investigations have shown that the E2 protein binds to host cell receptors when initiating cell entry, whereas the E1 protein is required for pH-triggered membrane fusion within acidified endosomes (21). Following the fusion of viral and endosomal membranes, the nucleocapsid is delivered into the cytosol where it dissociates to release the viral RNA.

Significance

Eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV) is an important pathogenic alphavirus that causes severe encephalitis and neuron damage with a high mortality rate of ~50%. Currently, no approved antiviral agents are available for treatments of EEEV infection. Heparan sulfate (HS) proteoglycan on host cell surface has been identified as a host attachment factor/receptor for EEEV infection. This study demonstrates the first cryo-EM structure of the wild-type EEEV that has been examined when bound to an HS-mimicking polysaccharide, heparin. The identified axial and peripheral HS binding sites on each spike show structural similarity. The discovered HS binding sites on EEEV may also serve as potential targets for the development of antiviral agents against this highly infectious alphavirus.
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genome to initiate replication and subsequent assembly of progeny particles.

Alphaviruses infect a wide variety of tissues in arthropod vectors and mammalian hosts. Tissues associated with lymphoid, nervous, musculoskeletal, and gastrointestinal-related systems have been suggested to be involved in virus entry and propagation (22). Host membrane proteins such as DC-SIGN, L-SIGN (23), NRAMP2 (24), Mxra8 (25), and HS (22) have been suggested to function as receptors and attachment factors for alphaviruses. HS polysaccharides are composed of repeating disaccharide units of glucuronic acid and acetyl-glucosamine (GlcAβ1–4GlcNAcα1–4)n. Heparin (Hp) polysaccharides are similar to highly sulfated HS and composed of repeating disaccharide units of sulfated iduronic acid and acetyl-glucosamine (IdoAβ1–4GlcNAcα1–4)n. Incubation of an EEEV strain representative of naturally circulating viruses with Hp polymers or infection of HS-nonexpressing cells significantly reduces infectivity as demonstrated by reduction of plaque formation (22), implying that HS can act as an attachment receptor for wild-type EEEV (22). Hence, identification of the heparan sulfate (HS) binding sites on the E2 glycoprotein should provide information on the mechanism of viral entry and neurovirulence.

Here, we determine and present the cryo-electron microscopic (cryo-EM) reconstruction of the wild-type EEEV in complex with Hp. A difference map generated by subtracting the EEEV–Hp complex map from the EEEV virus density map showed four Hp peaks on each q3 and i3 spike. All six “peripheral” sites are at chemically equivalent sites. Similarly, both axial sites are chemically equivalent. We further show that these HS binding sites on the E2 glycoprotein involve positively charged residues that are conserved in EEEV strains but not in other alphaviruses. Chondroitin sulfate (CS), a sulfated glycosaminoglycan (GAG), was unable to effectively inhibit EEEV viral infection, probably because it has only one negatively charged sulfate per disaccharide as opposed to about an average of two for Hp.

**Results**

**The Cryo-EM Structure of the EEEV–Hp Complex.** Purified EEEV particles were mixed with low–molecular-weight Hp (6 kDa) at pH ~8.0 and flash-frozen in liquid ethane on lacey carbon grids for cryo-EM analysis. About 1,200 micrographs were recorded. After motion correction (26) and contrast transfer function (CTF) estimation (27), ~28,000 EEEV–Hp complexed particles were selected for further processing. After reference-free two-dimensional (2D) classification using the RELION software (28), ~12,000 uncomplexed native EEEV particles were used as a control experiment to produce a 5.2-Å resolution structure of EEEV (29).

**Difference between the EEEV–Hp and the Native EEEV Structures.** The EEEV–Hp cryo-EM map (Fig. 2A) was compared with the native EEEV surface map to identify the HS binding sites on the viral surface (Fig. 2B). Although the external diameter of the native

![Fig. 1. Cryo-EM reconstruction of EEEV–heparin (Hp). (A) Diagrammatic representation of the E1–E2 glycoprotein spikes (E proteins) arranged with T = 4 quasi-icosahedral symmetry. One asymmetric unit is outlined by a black triangle. An alternative asymmetric unit is outlined by a dashed line. (B) Three-dimensional cryo-EM map of the EEEV–Hp complex, viewed down an icosahedral twofold (i2) axis. An asymmetric unit is outlined by a black triangle. (C) A central cross section of the EEEV–Hp cryo-EM map viewed down an i2 axis. The coloring in B and C is by radius according to the sequence of colors in a rainbow with the largest radius colored red and the smallest colored blue. Much of the red to orange regions are occupied by the E1 and E2 proteins.](image-url)
Table 1. Statistics of cryo-EM data collection, processing, and model fitting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection and processing</th>
<th>EEEV</th>
<th>EEEV–Hp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal magnification</td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage, kV</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total electron dose, e−/Å²</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>32.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of frames per movie</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposure time, s</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame exposure time, ms</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose rate, e−Å²−s⁻¹</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defocus range, μm</td>
<td>0.5–3.5</td>
<td>0.5–3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size, Å</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of micrographs collected</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>1,581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final particle images used for single particle reconstruction, no.</td>
<td>11,867</td>
<td>17,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Map resolution, Å (FSC = 0.143)</th>
<th>Icosahedral</th>
<th>Icosahedral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unmasked</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masked</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>5.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpening B factor, Å²</td>
<td>−174</td>
<td>−305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model fitting</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model used</td>
<td>E1–E2 heterodimer (PDB: 6MX4)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitting method</td>
<td>Rigid-body fitting using EMfit</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumf* (% value (EMfit))</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model-map correlation coefficient (Phenix)¹</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.7440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sumf is the average density at the positions of the fitted atoms. The density was normalized by the highest density (100%) in the cryo-EM map (31, 32).

¹Model-map correlation coefficient was calculated using phenix.get_cc_mtz_pdb (58).
addition of Hp as well as Hp disaccharides with varying degree of sulfations (Fig. 7A–C). The results showed that increased ionic strength and highly sulfated Hp effectively disrupted the binding of EEEV onto the cell surface. Furthermore, EEEV mutants with mutations of E2 glycoprotein residues located either around the axial HS site (R84A/R119A) (Fig. 7D) or the peripheral HS site (K156A, R157A, K156A/R157A) (Fig. 7E) show significant decrease of binding to BHK cells. These results suggest that the electrostatic attraction between EEEV and the host cell HS is mediated by highly sulfated HS and the basic residues on the surface of EEEV.

Discussion
As the side-chain positions in the current structure of EEEV complexed with Hp were identified by fitting the E1–E2 structure derived from the recently published 4.4-Å native EEEV reconstruction (19, 30), it is possible to compare the amino acid side chains that comprise the chemically equivalent axial and peripheral HS sites on the i3 and q3 spikes (Table 2 and Fig. 4B). Both the axial and peripheral sites are formed by Arg and His residues, providing a positively charged environment for HS binding, as is also the case in other alphavirus strains (35, 37–39), in which mutations of residues to Arg within these regions as well as other sites resulted in increased HS binding ability and virus infectivity in vitro. These basic residues interact electrostatically with the HS sulfate groups, while other residues in the binding site make hydrogen bonds with HS (40).

In general, HS on cell surfaces is ∼80% less sulfated (less than one sulfate group per disaccharide unit on average) and ∼20% highly sulfated (more than one sulfate group per disaccharide unit on average) (41). Hp used in the current studies is more equivalent to the highly sulfated HS form. The EEEV axial and peripheral HS sites are both composed of polar and positively charged residues, suggesting that EEEV preferably binds to highly sulfated HS. A recent study on the porcine circovirus 2 (PCV2) in complex with HS (42) showed that PCV2 has three HS binding sites (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A) that are similar to the EEEV peripheral HS sites (SI Appendix, Fig. S5B–D), implying that the Lys–Arg residues (or positive charge distribution) in a HS binding site might have a specific 3D arrangement that favors HS binding.

In previous studies, the 71KXX74KXX77 sequence in the E2 glycoprotein of EEEV was shown to be involved in HS binding (22, 39, 43, 44). Furthermore, positively charged cell culture-adapted mutations that increase HS binding affinity occur at positions 70 (SINV) (43), 76 (VEEV) (44), and 79 (CHIKV) (39). However, the EEEV–Hp reconstruction showed that there were no Hp molecules bound at the 71KXX74KXX77 site. It is possible that the 71KXX74KXX77K site does not directly interact with the low–molecular-weight Hp used in this study. It is also possible that the binding of low–molecular-weight Hp to the 71KXX74KXX77K site does not follow icosahedral symmetry, and thus we could not detect binding due to the imposed icosahedral symmetry during the reconstruction. By structural comparison, the axial and peripheral HS binding sites are
composed of clustered positively charged residues, and these two sites share similarities. However, the 71KXX74KXXK77 sequence has Lys71, Lys74, and Lys77 separated by ∼10 Å and is less similar to the peripheral site of EEEEV (SI Appendix, Fig. S6). On each i3 and q3 glycoprotein spike, there are threefold-related 71KXX74KXXK77 sequences which were ∼34 Å distant from each other. Thus, the 71KXX74KXXK77 sequences may form a larger positively charged area for EEEEV to bind higher molecular-weight HS molecules on the cell surface (>100 kDa), consistent with mutational experiments in which one Lys at a time was changed to Ala (45), resulting in a reduced EEEEV HS-dependent viral infectivity. Based on the identified binding sites, it is unlikely that HS induces fusion or interferes with the fusion process. Instead, the binding sites are located primarily on domain A and are responsible for initial attachment events. It is likely that larger HS molecules that are present on cells cross-link the axial and peripheral sites in an asymmetric unit.

Currently, there are no effective treatments for EEEEV infections. The identified axial and peripheral HS binding sites, together with the previously identified 71KXX74KXXK77 sequence, should serve as promising targets for developing antiviral agents against EEEEV by interfering with virus–host interactions.

**Methods**

**Purification of SINV–EEEV Viral Particles.** Cell culture and virus infection experiments were conducted under biological safety level 2 (BSL-2) conditions using a chimeric Sindbis–EEEV virus (44). BHK-15 cells were cultured in minimum essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 1% nonessential amino acids (NEAA) and 10% heat-inactivated FBS and grown to ∼90% confluency. During virus infection, the infection medium (5 mL) containing MEM and 1% NEAA and EEEEV virus (multiplicity of infection of ∼1 to 5) were added to obtain virus attachment at room temperature. The modified MEM (10 mL) was added for ∼17-h incubation at 37 °C. The culture medium containing viruses was passed through a 0.22-μm filter to remove cell debris. The viral particles were precipitated by 14% (wt/vol) PEG 6000 and 4.6% (wt/vol) NaCl. The precipitated viral particles were pelleted at 2,500 × g for 30 min at 4 °C and resuspended in 1 mL of TNE buffer (25 mM Tris, pH 8, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1% EDTA). Further purification of EEEEV viral particles was performed using a continuous Opti-prep density gradient (0–90%) at 247,000 × g for 1.5 h at 4 °C (SW-41 swing rotor). The purified EEEEV particles were buffer-exchanged with TNE buffer and concentrated to 1 mg/mL E2 glycoprotein for cryo-EM sample preparation.

**Plaque Assay.** BHK-15 cells were grown in six-well plates to nearly 100% confluency. Before virus attachment, the culture medium was removed and the cells were rinsed with PBS. The virus attachment procedure was conducted by adding 0.5 mL of infection medium containing viruses to each well for 1 h of incubation at room temperature. The infection medium was removed from the wells, and the BHK cells were overlaid with 3 mL of MEM-containing soft agar (1% melting agar, 1× MEM, 1% NEAA, and 5% FBS). Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 17 h, and plaques were stained with neutral red (0.1% neutral red in PBS) for 4 h of incubation at 37 °C. The dead cells after virus infection could not be stained by neutral red and thus visualized in the plaques.

**Cryo-EM Sample Preparation and Data Collection.** Cryo-EM samples of EEEEV and EEEEV–Hp were prepared under BSL-2 conditions. To prepare EEEEV–Hp samples, EEEEV (~1 mg/mL of E2 glycoproteins) and 16 mg/mL Hp were mixed and incubated for 1 d at 4 °C. Cryo-EM samples were prepared using the...
Cryo-plunge 3 system (Gatan). Aliquots of 3 μL of virus solutions with or without Hp were applied onto glow-discharged Lacey carbon EM grids (400 mesh; Ted Pella). After blotting for 3.3 to 6 s, grids were plunge-frozen in liquid ethane, and virus samples were embedded in vitreous ice. The samples were visualized on a Titan Krios transmission electron microscope (ThermoFisher Scientific). Forty or 60 consecutive frames were recorded on a Gatan K2 Summit direct electron detector with 3,838 × 3,710 pixels. All of the datasets were collected in counting mode using Leginon (46). Experimental parameters include a defocus range of 0.5 to 3.5 μm with a pixel size of 1.73 Å. The total electron dose for data collection was ∼32 e−/Å².

**Image Processing.** For the datasets of EEEV and EEEV–Hp, beam-induced motion correction was performed using the MotionCor2 program (26). The CTF value of each frame was estimated using CTFFIND4 (27). Particles from the axial and peripheral sites are shown as gray spheres. The “best” structural alignment is shown with the lowest RMSD between the axial and peripheral sites as listed in Table 2.
Table 2. Structure alignment of HS binding residues at the peripheral and axial sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HS site</th>
<th>Alignment</th>
<th>RMSD, Å</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>R1191</td>
<td>N801 R841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>H821</td>
<td>H1141 R842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>N801</td>
<td>H1141 R1192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>H1141</td>
<td>R841 N801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>H821</td>
<td>N801 R841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral</td>
<td>H5 R157</td>
<td>K156 H155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial</td>
<td>N801</td>
<td>H821 H1142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The E2 residues H5, K156 and R157 surrounding the peripheral HS site, and H82, R84, N80, H114 and R119 surrounding the axial HS site were selected for structural comparison between the two HS sites. The atoms, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H5, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H155, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of K156 from the peripheral sites and C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R157, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H82, C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R84, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of N80, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H114, and C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R119 from the axial sites and the centers of the axial and peripheral HS densities included in the structural alignment and RMSD calculations. In the axial HS site, trimeric copies of five residues are surrounding the axial HS binding sites. The first (1), second (2), or third (3) sets of axial residues are labeled in a clockwise direction when looking down the E1–E2 glycoprotein trimeric spike. These residues are used for the structural alignment.

The corrected frames were boxed using the FindEM template picking method in the Appion (47) software with templates generated from the manually picked particles. Particle images were initially binned eightfold and subjected to nonreference 2D classification in RELION (28) to filter out particles that failed to generate good average projections. The remaining 17,022 EEEV–Hp particles were used for the 3D reconstruction. Similarly, ~12,000 particles were extracted for the uncomplexed control dataset.

Single-Particle Reconstruction. The 3D icosahedral reconstruction for native EEEV and EEEV–Hp were conducted using the jprg program (29). In each case, the gold-standard 3D reconstruction was used to estimate the effective resolution. A total of 50 particles was randomly selected to generate an initial model for each half dataset. The final electron density map was reconstructed, and the resolution was estimated to be 5.8 Å for the EEEV–Hp map based on the Fourier shell correlation criterion of 0.143 (48). The same approach was taken for the control dataset which produced a 5.2-Å structure of native EEEV.

Model Fitting, Difference Map Calculation, and Docking Experiments. The published structure of the EEEV E1–E2 glycoproteins derived from the 4.4-Å native EEEV cryo-EM reconstruction (30) was fitted to the EEEV–Hp map using the EMFit program (32). As the native and complexed structures showed significantly different diameters, both maps were scaled to the same size by modifying the pixel size of the native EEEV map from 1.58 to 1.66 Å. Subsequently, a difference map was calculated in reciprocal space using programs in CCP4, srefit to convert the EEEV and EEEV–Hp maps to structure factors, rstats for nonlinear least-squares map scaling, and FFT for difference map calculation. A HS disaccharide with three sulfate groups were generated in the PRODRG server (49). The “Vina” autodocking program (50), as implemented in the University of California San Francisco Chimera software (51), was used to prepare ligands (HS) and receptors (E1–E2 glycoprotein), to define docking areas in the axial and the peripheral HS sites (a box volume of 15 Å × 15 Å × 15 Å around the axial HS site [center: 90.6, 55.0, 290.0 from the origin] and a box volume of 15 Å × 15 Å × 15 Å around the peripheral HS site [center: 67.0, 78.0, 297.0 from the origin]).

Sequence Alignment. The sequences of E2 glycoproteins from six alphaviruses (VEEV, AAB02517.1; EEEV, ADW86015.2; WEEV, ACN87273.1; CHIKV, AAU43881.1; SINV, AAA96976.1; Ross River Virus, AAA47405.1) and different strains of EEEV (FL91-4679, ADW86015.2; TX95, AAF04797.1; MA38, AAF04792.1; M583, AHL83695.1; TX91, AAF04795.1; FL96, AAF04798.1; LA50, AAF04793.1; GA91, AAF04799.1; LA47, ANB41591.1; NJ60, ABQ63086.1) were aligned using EBI Clustal Omega (52). The secondary structure elements were drawn using ESPript3.0 (53, 54) based on the structural model of the published EEEV E2 glycoprotein.

Structural Alignment. The coordinates of HS binding residues in the axial and peripheral HS binding sites were extracted from the native EEEV E2 glycoprotein structure (30). The centers of the axial and peripheral HS densities, the atoms (N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H5, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H155, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of K156, and C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R157) from the residues in the peripheral site, and the atoms (N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H82, C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R84, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of N80, N\textsubscript{e} 2 of H114, and C\textsubscript{ζ} 2 of R119) from the axial site were used for structural alignment and RMSD calculations by the Pair-fit program in Pymol (55).

Fig. 5. Modeling of HS into the axial and peripheral HS binding sites. (A) The E2 residues in the axial site are labeled in black, and the HS molecule from one of the docking results is labeled in red. Residues that make polar contacts with HS are indicated with black dashed lines. (B) The positively charged residues of E2 in one of the peripheral sites are shown in orange, and the HS molecule from one of the docking results is shown in red. The residues that make polar contacts with HS are indicated with black dashed lines.
In Vitro EEEV Binding Assay. The binding assay was designed based on the combined cell culture ELISA (56). A 96-well plate with BHK cells was pre-cooled at 4 °C for 1 h. The culture medium was removed from each well, and cells were rinsed with chilled PBS three times. A concentrated EEEV virus stock (∼3 mg/mL of structural proteins) was diluted in chilled PBS (1:5,000 dilution) and then 100 μL of the diluted virus solution with different treatments (500 μg/mL and 1 mg/mL of Hp 6 kDa, 300 mM NaCl, Hp disaccharide I-S, II-H, and IV-H [purchased from Sigma]) were added to each well for virus attachment at 4 °C for 2 h. Similarly, purified mutant viruses (R84A, R119A, R84/R119A, K156A, R157A, and K156A/R157A) were diluted to an equal amount of EEEV before binding assays. Unbound virus particles were removed, and each well was rinsed by chilled PBS two times, followed by addition of the diluted primary antibodies (EEEV-22, 12 mg/mL; 1:5,000 dilution), which recognizes E2 domain B (57) for 1 h at 4 °C. Free antibodies were removed and each well was rinsed by chilled PBS for two times, followed by addition of the goat anti-mouse antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (GAM-HRP) (Abcam) for 1 h at 4 °C. After removal of GAM-HRP, each well was rinsed by chilled PBS two times before adding 100 μL of the TMB substrate solution (Thermo Fisher). Within 30 min, the reactions were then terminated by adding 100 μL of 1 M H2SO4 and endpoint absorbance at 450 nm was measured for each experiment. For plotting, data points were adjusted by subtracting the mean of the negative control from all of the absorbance values in the data and normalizing each data point with the PBS control set as 0% and EEEV alone as 100%.

Reagent and Resource Sharing. Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the corresponding author. An approved Material Transfer Agreement may be required for resource sharing.

Data Availability. The atomic coordinates for the E1–E2 glycoproteins bound with HS disaccharides, and the cryo-EM density maps of the EEEV–Hp

Fig. 6. Inhibition of EEEV by GAGs. (A) Chemical structure of HS. The scaffold of HS contains repeating units of glucuronic acid and N-glucosamine (GlcAβ1–4GlcNAcα1–4)n. The R1, R2, and R3 groups represent different moieties in the six different disaccharide units. (B) Chemical structures of CS-A and CS-B. CS-A/B contains repeating disaccharide units of glucuronic/duronic acid and acetylgalactosamine (GlcAβ1/IdoAβ1–3GalNAcα1–4)n, respectively. (C) Inhibition of EEEV by Hp treatment. Hp (6 kDa) concentrations of 50, 100, or 200 μg/mL were used to determine the EEEV inhibition. A HS disaccharide [GlcAβ1–GlcNS(6S)] was tested at a concentration of 200 μg/mL. (D) EEEV inhibition assays by CS treatment. Chondroitin sulfate A (CS-A) and B (CS-B) were tested at a concentration of 100 μg/mL.
Materials and Methods

The error bar represents the SEM. Data were normalized according to the results of PBS controls and EEEV (the mutant viruses were adjusted to the equal amount of wild-type EEEV before virus attachment. For each set of experiments, five repeats were conducted.

Examination of binding of wild-type EEEV and the three peripheral-HS site mutants, K156A, R157A, and K156A/R157A, to BHK cells. Virus concentrations of the mutant viruses were adjusted to the equal amount of wild-type EEEV before virus attachment. (E) Examination of binding of wild-type EEEV and the three peripheral-HS site mutants, K156A, R157A, and K156A/R157A, to BHK cells. Virus concentrations of the mutant viruses were adjusted to the equal amount of wild-type EEEV before virus attachment. For each set of experiments, five repeats were conducted, and the error bar represents the SEM. Data were normalized according to the results of PBS controls and EEEV (Materials and Methods). ns, not significant, P > 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; ****P ≤ 0.0001.

Fig. 7. In vitro binding assays of EEEV and mutants. (A) Treatments of EEEV with heparin (Hp) and sodium chloride (NaCl). EEEV, EEEV without treatment; Hp 1 mg/mL, EEEV incubated with 1 mg/mL Hp; Hp 500 μg/mL, EEEV incubated with 500 μg/mL Hp; NaCl 300 mM, EEEV incubated with 300 mM NaCl; PBS, controls without EEEV viral particles. (B) Treatment of EEEV with different Hp molecules. The 6-kDa Hp and three Hp disaccharide molecules, I-S, II-H, and IV-H, were incubated with EEEV and the compound concentrations of Hp molecules were indicated. (C) The chemical structures of three Hp disaccharide molecules (DS I-S, II-H, and IV-H). Sulfated groups were highlighted in red. (D) Examination of binding of wild-type EEEV and the three axial-HS site mutants, R84A, R119A, and R84A/R119A, to BHK cells. Virus concentrations of the mutant viruses were adjusted to the equal amount of wild-type EEEV before virus attachment. (E) Examination of binding of wild-type EEEV and the three peripheral-HS site mutants, K156A, R157A, and K156A/R157A, to BHK cells. Virus concentrations of the mutant viruses were adjusted to the equal amount of wild-type EEEV before virus attachment. For each set of experiments, five repeats were conducted, and the error bar represents the SEM. Data were normalized according to the results of PBS controls and EEEV (Materials and Methods). ns, not significant, P > 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001; ****P ≤ 0.0001.
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